arXiv:1504.03969v2 [math.AG] 4 Feb 2017

Lagrangianity for log extendable overconvergent F-isocrystals

Daniel Caro

Abstract

In the framework of Berthelot's theory of arithmetic $\mathcal{D}$-modules, we prove that Berthelot's characteristic variety associated with a holonomic $\mathcal{D}$-modules endowed with a Frobenius structure has pure dimension. As an application, we get the lagrangianity of the characteristic variety of a log extendable overconvergent F-isocrystal.

Contents

1 Convention and preliminaries on filtered modules **2**

2 Purity of the characteristic variety of holonomic F-$\mathcal{D}$-modules **7**

3 Lagrangianity for log-extendable overconvergent isocrystal **9**

Introduction

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a complete discrete valued ring of mixed characteristic $(0,p)$, π be a uniformizer, K its field of fractions, k its residue field which is supposed to be perfect. Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a smooth formal $\mathcal{V}$-scheme (the topology is the p-adic one), X be its special fiber. Berthelot has built the sheaf differential operator with finite level over $\mathfrak{X}$ which he denotes by $\mathcal{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ (this corresponds somehow to the weak p-adic completion of the usual sheaf of differential operators). Putting $\mathcal{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathfrak{X},\mathbb{Q}} := \mathcal{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathfrak{X}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$, he has defined in [Ber02, 5.2.7] the characteristic variety $\mathrm{Car}(\mathcal{E})$ (included in the cotangent space of X) associated with a coherent $\mathcal{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathfrak{X},\mathbb{Q}}$-module $\mathcal{E}$ endowed with a Frobenius structure. He has proved Bernstein's inequality $\dim \mathrm{Car}(\mathcal{E}) \geq \dim X$ and has defined $\mathcal{E}$ to be holonomic when this inequality is an equality. In this paper, we first prove that when $\mathcal{E}$ is holonomic, its characteristic variety $\mathrm{Car}(\mathcal{E})$ is of pure dimension $\dim X$. One main ingredient of the proof is to use the homological characterization of the holonomicity (see [Vir00, III.4.2]) and another one is to use the sheaf of microdifferential operators (for instance, see [Abe14]). Both ideas comes from the original proof of Kashiwara of the analogous property in the theory of analytic $\mathcal{D}$-modules (see [Kas77]). Finally, when $\mathcal{E}$ is a log extendable overconvergent F-isocrystal, we establish the inclusion of $\mathrm{Car}(\mathcal{E})$ into a explicit lagrangian subvariety of the cotangent space of X. With the above purity theorem, this inclusion implies the Lagrangianity of $\mathrm{Car}(\mathcal{E})$. Moreover, one another application of this inclusion in a further work will be to get some "relative generic $\mathcal{O}$-coherence" (see precisely the proof of Theorem [Car15, 1.4.3]). This will imply some Betti number estimates (see [Car15]). In the theory of arithmetic $\mathcal{D}$-modules, we recall that to check some property we are often able to reduce to the case of log extendable overconvergent F-isocrystals (e.g. in the proof of Theorem [Car15, 1.4.3]). Indeed, overholonomic F-complexes of arithmetic $\mathcal{D}$-modules are devissable in overconvergent F-isocrystals (see [Car06a]) and thanks to Kedlaya's semistable reduction theorem any overconvergent F-isocrystal becomes log-extendable after the pull-back by some generically etale alteration (see [Ked11]).

Convention, notation of the paper

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a complete discrete valued ring of mixed characteristic $(0,p)$, π be a uniformizer, K its field of fractions, k its residue field which is supposed to be perfect. A k-variety is a separated reduced scheme of finite type over k. We will denote formal schemes by curly or gothic letters and the corresponding straight roman letter will mean the special fiber (e.g. if $\mathfrak{X}$ is a formal scheme over $\mathcal{V}$, then X is the k-variety equal to the special fiber of $\mathfrak{X}$). When M is a $\mathcal{V}$-module, we denote by $\widehat{M}$ its π-adic completion and we set $M_{\mathbb{Q}} := M \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} K$. By default, a module will mean a left module.

1 Convention and preliminaries on filtered modules

We use here the terminology of Laumon in [Lau85, A.1]:

(i) A filtered ring (D, D_i) is a ring D, unitary, non necessary commutative, with an increasing filtration by additive subgroups $(D_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ indexed by $\mathbb{Z}$ such that $1 \in D_0$ and $D_i \cdot D_j \subset D_{i+j}$ for any $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(ii) Let (D, D_i) be a filtered ring. We get an exact (not abelian) category of filtered (D, D_i)-modules as follows. A filtered (D, D_i)-module (M, M_i) is a D-module M endowed with a filtration $(M_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ such that $A_i \cdot M_j \subset M_{i+j}$. A morphism of $(M, M_i) \to (M', M'_i)$ of filtered (D, D_i)-modules is a morphism of D-modules $f : M \to M'$ such that $f(M_i) \subset M'_i$. If (M, M_i) is a filtered (D, D_i)-module and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote by $(M(n), M(n)_i)$ the filtered (D, D_i)-module defined as follows: $M(n) = M$ and $M(n)_i := M_{i+n}$. Following [Gro61, 2.1.2], a filt free (D, D_i)-module (resp. of finite type) is a direct sum (resp. a finite direct sum) in the category of filtered (D, D_i)-modules of the form $(D(n), D(n)_i)$, for some integer n. Let (M, M_i) be a filtered (D, D_i)-module. We say that the filtration M_i is good or that (M, M_i) is a good a filtered (D, D_i)-module if there exists an epimorphism in the category of filtered (D, D_i)-modules of the form $\phi\colon (L, L_i) \twoheadrightarrow (M, M_i)$ such that $\phi(L_i) = M_i$. We remark that any D-module of finite type can be endowed with a good filtration. Conversely, for any good filtered (D, D_i)-module (M, M_i), M is a D-module of finite type.

(iii) Let (D, D_i) be a filtered ring and (M, M_i) be a filtered (D, D_i)-module. The *ind-pro-complete separation* of (M, M_i), denoted by $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{M}_i)$ is a filtered (D, D_i)-module defined as follows: $\widehat{M}_i := \varprojlim_n M_i/M_{i-n}$ is the complete separation of M_i with respect to the filtration $(M_{i-n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\widehat{M} := \cup_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \widehat{M}_i$, where the inclusion $\widehat{M}_i \subset \widehat{M}_{i+1}$ are that induced by complete separation from the inclusion $M_i \subset M_{i+1}$. Using the universal property of projective limits we check that $(\widehat{D}, \widehat{D}_i)$ is also a filtered ring and that $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{M}_i)$ is a filtered $(\widehat{D}, \widehat{D}_i)$-module.

We say that (M, M_i) is *ind-pro-complete separated* if the canonical morphism $(M, M_i) \to (\widehat{M}, \widehat{M}_i)$ is an isomorphism. For instance, we remark that the filtration of an ind-pro-complete separated filtered ring is exhaustive. As Laumon, to simplify the terminology (we hope there will not be confusing with the usual notion of completion), we will simply say “complete” for “ind-pro-complete separated” and “completion” for ‘ìnd-pro-complete separation”.

(iv) In this section, with our abuse of terminology, (D, D_i) will be a complete filtered ring such that $\mathrm{gr}(D, D_i)$ is a left and right noetherian ring. Hence, from Proposition [Lau85, A.1.1], a good filtered (D, D_i)-module is complete.

Lemma [Lau83, 3.3.2] is still valid in the following context:

Lemma 1.1. *Let* $0 \to (M', M'_i) \xrightarrow{f} (M, M_i) \xrightarrow{g} (M'', M''_i) \to 0$ *be a sequence of morphisms of good filtered* (D, D_i)*-modules. The following conditions are equivalente :*

(a) we have $M'_i = M' \cap M_i$ *and* $M''_i = g(M'_i)$ *for any* $i \in \mathbb{Z}$*;*

(b) the sequences of abelian groups $0 \to M'_i \xrightarrow{f} M_i \xrightarrow{g} M''_i \to 0$ *are exact for any* $i \in \mathbb{Z}$*;*

(c) $g \circ f = 0$ *and the sequence of* gr*D-modules* $0 \to \mathrm{gr}M' \to \mathrm{gr}M \to \mathrm{gr}M'' \to 0$ *is exact.*

When these equivalent conditions are satisfied, the sequence of D-modules $0 \to M' \to M \to M'' \to 0$ *is exact.*

Proof. The equivalence between (a) and (b) is obvious. Suppose the condition (b) is satisfied. The fact good filtrations are exhaustive implies that $g \circ f = 0$. We get the last condition (c) by using the nine Lemma (see the exercice [Wei94, 1.3.2]). Suppose now the condition (c) is satisfied. Using the nine Lemma (more precisely, the part 3 of the exercice [Wei94, 1.3.2]), for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we check by induction in $n \geq 1$ that the sequence of abelian groups

$0 \to M'_i/M'_{i-n} \to M_i/M_{i-n} \to M''_i/M''_{i-n} \to 0$ are exact. Taking the projective limits, since M'_i, M_i and M''_i are complete separated by hypothesis, since Mittag Leffler condition is satisfied, we get the sequence $0 \to M'_i \xrightarrow{f} M_i \xrightarrow{g} M''_i \to 0$ is exact. The last statement of the Lemma follows from the remark that filtrations are exhaustive. □

Definition 1.2. Let $0 \to (M', M'_i) \xrightarrow{f} (M, M_i) \xrightarrow{g} (M'', M''_i) \to 0$ be a sequence of morphisms of good filtered (D, D_i)-modules satisfying conditions (a) and (b) of 1.1. We say that this sequence is an "exact" sequence of morphisms of good filtered (D, D_i)-modules.

Lemma 1.3. *Let $u\colon (M, M_i) \to (N, N_i)$ be a morphism of good filtered (D, D_i)-modules. We have the exact sequences of good filtered (D, D_i)-modules:*

$$\begin{aligned} &0 \to \ker u \to (M, M_i) \to \operatorname{Coim} u \to 0, \\ &0 \to \operatorname{Im} u \to (N, N_i) \to \operatorname{Coker} u \to 0. \end{aligned} \tag{1.3.1}$$

Proof. Let $\ker u$ be the kernel of u in the category of filtered (D, D_i)-modules, i.e. $\ker u = (\ker u, \ker u \cap M_i)$. Let $\operatorname{Coker} u$ be the cokernel of u in the category of filtered (D, D_i)-modules, i.e. $\operatorname{Coker} u = (\operatorname{Coker} u, N_i/N_i \cap u(M))$ where $\phi\colon N \to \operatorname{Coker} u$ is the canonical morphism. From [Lau85, A.1.1.2], the filtered (D, D_i)-modules $\ker u$ and $\operatorname{Coker} u$ are good. Hence, $\ker u, \operatorname{Coker} u, \operatorname{Im} u, \operatorname{Coim} u$ exist in the category of good filtered (D, D_i)-modules (and are equal to that computed in the category of good filtered (D, D_i)-modules). Hence, both sequences 1.3.1 are well defined in the category of good filtered (D, D_i)-modules. Since $\operatorname{Coim} u = (\operatorname{Im} u, u(M_i))$ and $\operatorname{Im} u = (\operatorname{Im} u, \operatorname{Im} u \cap N_i)$, then these sequence satisfy the condition (a) of Lemma 1.1 and hence they are exact.

□

Definition 1.4 (Strictness)**.** A morphism $u\colon (M, M_i) \to (N, N_i)$ of good filtered (D, D_i)-modules is strict if the canonical morphism $\operatorname{Coim} u \to \operatorname{Im} u$ is an isomorphism of (good) filtered (D, D_i)-modules. If $u\colon M \to N$ is a monomorphism (resp. epimorphism) and if $u\colon (M, M_i) \to (N, N_i)$ is strict, we say that u is a strict monomorphism (resp. strict epimorphism).

Lemma 1.5. *Let $u\colon (M, M_i) \to (N, N_i)$ be a morphism of good filtered (D, D_i)-modules.*

1. *Then u is strict if and only if $u(M_i) = u(M) \cap N_i$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.*
2. *The following conditions are equivalent*
   - *(a) u is a strict monomorphism*
   - *(b) the morphism $(M, M_i) \to \operatorname{Im} u$ is an isomorphism*
   - *(c) the sequence of good filtered (D, D_i)-modules $0 \to (M, M_i) \to (N, N_i) \to \operatorname{Coker} u \to 0$ is exact.*
   - *(d) $\operatorname{gr} u$ is a monomorphism.*
3. *The following conditions are equivalent*
   - *(a) u is a strict epimorphism*
   - *(b) the morphism $\operatorname{Coim} u \to (N, N_i)$ is an isomorphism*
   - *(c) the sequence of good filtered (D, D_i)-modules $0 \to \ker u \to (M, M_i) \to (N, N_i) \to 0$ is exact.*
   - *(d) $\operatorname{gr} u$ is an epimorphism.*
4. *u is an isomorphism if and only if $\operatorname{gr} u$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. The first statement is straighforward from the description of $\operatorname{Im} u$ and $\operatorname{Coim} u$. and from Lemma 1.3. Let us check 2. $(a) \Rightarrow (b)$ is clear from the description of $\operatorname{Im} u$ and from 1. The implication $(b) \Rightarrow (c)$ (resp. $(c) \Rightarrow (d)$) is a consequence of 1.3.1. (resp. 1.1). Finally, suppose (d) is satisfied. Let $x \in \ker u$. Suppose $x \neq 0$. There exists $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $x \notin M_i$ (recall the filtration is separated). This is a contradiction with the fact that $M_{i+1}/M_i \to N_{i+1}/N_i$ is injective (because $\operatorname{gr} u$ is injective by hypothesis). Hence u is a monomorphism. The fact that $\operatorname{gr} u$ is injective implies that $M_{i+1} \cap N_i = M_i$, for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. By induction in $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we get that for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $M_{i+n} \cap N_i = M_i$. Since the filtration is exhaustive, this yields that $M \cap N_i = M_i$. From part 1) of the Lemma, this means that u is strict. Let us check part 3). We check similarly $(a) \Rightarrow (b) \Rightarrow (c) \Rightarrow (d)$. Now suppose that $\mathrm{gr} u$ is an epimorphism. Let $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. From part 1), it is sufficient to check $u(M_i) = N_i$ (indeed filtrations are exhausted and then u will be surjective). Let $y \in N_i$. Put $y_{-1} := y$. By induction in $n \geq 0$, we construct $y_n \in N_{i-1-n}$ and $x_n \in M_{i-n}$ such that $u(x_n) = y_{n-1} - y_n$. This is consequence of the equality $u(M_{i-n}) + N_{i-n-1} = N_{i-n}$ (because $\mathrm{gr} u$ is an epimorphism). Since N_i and M_i are separated complete for the filtrations $(N_{i-n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(M_{i-n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, the sum $\sum_{n \geq -1}(y_n - y_{n+1})$ converge to y and $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x_n$ converge in M_i to an element, denoted by x. Hence, $u(x) = y$ and then $N_i \subset u(M_i)$. Finally, 4 is a consequence of the equivalence $(a) \Leftrightarrow (d)$ of 2 and 3. □

Remark 1.6. With the notation 1.9, this is not true in general that if u and v are strict then $v \circ u$ is also strict. However, using 1.9 and 1.3, we remark that a morphism of good filtered (D, D_i)-modules is strict if and only if it is the composition (in the category of good filtered (D, D_i)-modules) of a strict epimorphism with a strict monomorphism. This last characterization of strictness was Laumon's definition of strictness given in [Lau83, 1.0.1].

Remark 1.7. Let (M, M_i) be a filtered (D, D_i)-module. From 1.5.1, we remark that (M, M_i) is a good filtered (D, D_i)-module if and only if there exists a strict epimorphism of the form $u\colon (L, L_i) \twoheadrightarrow (M, M_i)$, where (L, L_i) is a free filtered (D, D_i)-module of finite type.

Lemma 1.8. *Let $u\colon (M, M_i) \to (N, N_i)$ be a morphism of good filtered (D, D_i)-modules.*

1. *The following assertions are equivalent :*
   - (a) *The morphism u is strict ;*
   - (b) *The sequence $0 \to \mathrm{gr} \ker u \to \mathrm{gr}(M, M_i) \to \mathrm{gr}(N, N_i) \to \mathrm{gr} \mathrm{Coker} u \to 0$ is exact.*
   - (c) $\ker \mathrm{gr}(u) = \mathrm{gr} \ker(u)$ *and* $\mathrm{coker} \, \mathrm{gr}(u) = \mathrm{gr} \, \mathrm{coker}(u)$.
2. *If u is strict then we have also* $\mathrm{im} \, \mathrm{gr}(u) = \mathrm{gr} \, \mathrm{im}(u)$.

Proof. By applying the functor gr to the exact sequences 1.3.1, we get that $(a) \Rightarrow (b)$. Conversely, suppose (b) satisfied. First, remark the following fact available in an abelian category $\mathfrak{A}$: let $\alpha\colon M_1 \to M_2$ (resp. $\alpha\colon M_2 \to M_3$, resp. $\alpha\colon M_3 \to M_4$) be a epimorphism (resp. a morphism, resp. a monomorphism) of $\mathfrak{A}$. Then if $\ker \alpha = \ker \gamma \circ \beta \circ \alpha$ then β is a monomorphism. Moreover, if $\mathrm{Im} \gamma = \mathrm{Im} \gamma \circ \beta \circ \alpha$, then β is surjective. By applying the functor gr to the exact sequences 1.3.1, with this remark, the condition (b) implies that the morphism $\mathrm{gr} \mathrm{Coim}(u) \to \mathrm{gr} \mathrm{Im}(u)$ is an isomorphism of the abelian category of $\mathrm{gr} D$-modules. With Lemma 1.5.4, this implies that $\mathrm{Coim}(u) \to \mathrm{Im}(u)$ is an isomorphism.

The equivalence $(b) \Leftrightarrow (c)$ is straightforward. We check the statement 2) by applying gr to the exact sequences 1.3.1. □

Lemma 1.9. *Let $u\colon (M, M_i) \to (N, N_i)$ and $v\colon (N, N_i) \to (O, O_i)$ be two morphisms of good filtered (D, D_i)-modules.*

1. *If v is a strict monomorphism and u is strict then $v \circ u$ is strict.*
2. *If u is a strict epimorphism and v is strict then $v \circ u$ is strict.*
3. *If $v \circ u$ is strict epimorphism then v is a strict epimorphism.*
4. *If $v \circ u$ is strict monomorphism then u is a strict monomorphism.*

Proof. This can be checked elementarily from the characterization 1.5.1 For instance, let us check 1. Suppose v is a strict monomorphism and u is strict. We have $v(u(M)) \cap O_i \subset v(N) \cap O_i = v(N_i)$ (because v is strict). Hence, we get $v(u(M)) \cap O_i \subset v(u(M)) \cap v(N_i) = v(u(M) \cap N_i) = v(u(M_i))$. This implies $v(u(M_i)) = v(u(M)) \cap O_i$ (use that v is a monomorphism and u is strict for the equalities). Let us check 4. If $v \circ u$ is strict monomorphism then u is a monomorphism and we have $M_i \subset M \cap u^{-1}(N_i) \subset M \cap (v \circ u)^{-1}(O_i) = M_i$. Hence, $M_i = M \cap u^{-1}(N_i)$, i.e. $u(M_i) = u(M) \cap N_i$. We leave the other statements to the reader. □

Proposition 1.10. *With this definition of strictness, the category of good filtered (D,D_i)-modules is exact (see the definition in [Lau83, 1.0.2]).*

Proof. This is straighforward from previous Lemmas. For instance, the condition [Lau83, 1.0.2.(vi)] are the last two statements of 1.9. ☐

Notation 1.11 (Localisation)**.** Let f be a homogeneous element of $\mathrm{gr}D$. We denote by $(D_{[f]},D_{[f],i})$ the complete filtered ring of (D,D_i) relatively to $S_1(f) := \{f^n\ ,\ n\in\mathbb{N}\}\subset \mathrm{gr}D$ (see the definition after [Lau85, Corollaire A.2.3.4]).

Let (M,M_i) be a good filtered (D,D_i)-module. We put

$$(M_{[f]},M_{[f],i}) := (D_{[f]},D_{[f],i})\otimes_{(D,D_i)}(M,M_i), \tag{1.11.1}$$

the localized filtered module of (M,M_i) with respect to $S_1(f)$. We remind that $(M_{[f]},M_{[f],i})$ is also a good filtered $(D_{[f]},D_{[f],i})$-module (see [Lau85, A.2.3.6]) and $\mathrm{gr}M_{[f]}\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathrm{gr}D_{[f]}\otimes_{\mathrm{gr}D}\mathrm{gr}M$ (see [Lau85, A.1.1.3]).

The results and proofs of Malgrange in [Mal76, IV.4.2.3] (we can also find the proof in the book [HTT08, D.2.2]) can be extended without further problem in the context of complete filtered rings:

Lemma 1.12. *Let (M,M_i) be a good filtered (D,D_i)-module. Then there exists some free filtered (D,D_i)-modules of finite type $(L_n,L_{n,i})$ with $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and strict morphisms of good filtered (D,D_i)-modules $(L_{n+1},L_{n+1,i})\to(L_n,L_{n,i})$ and $(L_0,L_{0,i})\to(M,M_i)$ such that $L_\bullet\to M$ is a resolution of M (in the category of D-modules).*

We call such a resolution $(L_\bullet,L_{\bullet,i})$ a "good resolution" of (M,M_i).

Proof. This is almost the same as [Mal76, IV.4.2.3.2]. For the reader, we remind the construction: with the remark 1.7, there exists a strict epimorphism of good filtered (D,D_i)-modules of the form $\phi_0\colon(L_0,L_{0,i})\to(M,M_i)$, with $(L_0,L_{0,i})$ a free filtered (D,D_i)-module of finite type. Let $(M_1,M_{1,i})$ be the kernel of ϕ_0 (in the category of good filtered (D,D_i)-modules: see 1.10). Since $(M_1,M_{1,i})$ is good, there exists a strict epimorphism of the form $\phi_1\colon(L_1,L_{1,i})\to(M_1,M_{1,i})$, with $(L_1,L_{1,i})$ a free filtered (D,D_i)-module of finite type. Hence, the morphism $(L_1,L_{1,i})\to(L_0,L_{0,i})$ is strict. We go on similarly.

☐

Remark 1.13. Let $(L_\bullet,L_{\bullet,i})$ be a good resolution of (M,M_i). Then $\mathrm{gr}(L_\bullet,L_{\bullet,i})$ is a resolution of $\mathrm{gr}(M,M_i)$ by free $\mathrm{gr}(D,D_i)$-modules of finite type (use the properties of strictness given in 1.10).

Lemma 1.14. *Let $K^\bullet$ be a complex of abelian groups. Let $(F_iK^\bullet)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be an increasing filtration of $K^\bullet$. We put*

$$F_iH^r(K^\bullet) := \mathrm{Im}(H^r(F_iK^\bullet)\to H^r(K^\bullet)). \tag{1.14.1}$$

Then $\mathrm{gr}^F_i(H^r(K^\bullet))$ is a subquotient of $H^r(\mathrm{gr}^F_iK^\bullet)$.

Proof. For instance, we can follow the last seven lines of the proof of [HTT08, D.2.4] (or also at Malgrange's description of the corresponding spectral sequence in [Mal76, IV.4.2.3.2]): denote by $d^r\colon K^r\to K^{r+1}$ the morphism in $K^\bullet$, $d^r_i\colon F_iK^r\to F_iK^{r+1}$ the morphism in $F_iK^\bullet$, $\overline{d}^r_i\colon \mathrm{gr}^F_iK^r = F_iK^r/F_{i-1}K^r\to F_iK^{r+1}/F_{i-1}K^{r+1} = \mathrm{gr}^F_iK^{r+1}$. Since $\ker d^r_i = \ker d^r\cap F_iK^r$, we get $F_iH^r(K^\bullet) = \ker d^r\cap F_iK^r + \mathrm{Im}\,d^{r-1}/\,\mathrm{Im}\,d^{r-1}$. By definition we obtain:

$$\mathrm{gr}^F_i(H^r(K^\bullet)) := F_iH^r(K^\bullet)/F_{i-1}H^r(K^\bullet) = \ker d^r\cap F_iK^r + \mathrm{Im}\,d^{r-1}/\ker d^r\cap F_{i-1}K^r + \mathrm{Im}\,d^{r-1}. \tag{1.14.2}$$

We have $\ker\overline{d}^r_i = \ker(F_iK^r\to\mathrm{gr}^F_iK^{r+1})/F_{i-1}K^r$ and $\mathrm{Im}\,\overline{d}^r_{i-1} = d^{r-1}_i(F_iK^{r-1}) + F_{i-1}K^r/F_{i-1}K^r$. Hence

$$H^r(\mathrm{gr}^F_iK^\bullet) := \ker\overline{d}^r_i/\,\mathrm{Im}\,\overline{d}^r_{i-1} = \ker(F_iK^r\to\mathrm{gr}^F_iK^{r+1})/d^{r-1}_i(F_iK^{r-1}) + F_{i-1}K^r. \tag{1.14.3}$$

Set $L = \ker d^r\cap F_iK^r/d^{r-1}_i(F_iK^{r-1}) + \ker d^r\cap F_{i-1}K^r$. The inclusion $\ker d^r\cap F_iK^r\subset\ker(F_iK^r\to\mathrm{gr}^F_iK^{r+1})$ induces the map $\phi\colon\ \ker d^r\cap F_iK^r\to\ker(F_iK^r\to\mathrm{gr}^F_iK^{r+1})/d^{r-1}_i(F_iK^{r-1}) + F_{i-1}K^r$. Let $x\in\ker d^r\cap F_iK^r$ be an element in the kernel of ϕ. Then there exist $y\in F_iK^{r-1}$ and $z\in F_{i-1}K^r$ such that $x = d^{r-1}_i(y) + z$. Since $d^{r-1}_i(y)\in\ker d^r$, we get $z\in\ker d^r$ and then $z\in\ker d^r\cap F_{i-1}K^r$. Hence $\ker\phi\subset d^{r-1}_i(F_iK^{r-1}) + \ker d^r\cap F_{i-1}K^r$. Since the converse is obvious, we get $\ker\phi = d^{r-1}_i(F_iK^{r-1}) + \ker d^r\cap F_{i-1}K^r$ From 1.14.3, this yields that L is a subobject of $H^r(\mathrm{gr}^F_iK^\bullet)$. Moreover, we have the epimorphism $\ker d^r \cap F_i K^r \to \ker d^r \cap F_i K^r + \operatorname{Im} d^{r-1} / \ker d^r \cap F_{i-1} K^r + \operatorname{Im} d^{r-1}$. Since $d_i^{r-1}(F_i K^{r-1}) + \ker d^r \cap F_{i-1} K^r$ is in the kernel of this map, we get the factorisation $L \to \ker d^r \cap F_i K^r + \operatorname{Im} d^{r-1} / \ker d^r \cap F_{i-1} K^r + \operatorname{Im} d^{r-1}$, which is still an epimorphism. From 1.14.2, this implies that L is a quotient of $\operatorname{gr}_i^F(H^r(K^\bullet))$. Hence, $\operatorname{gr}_i^F(H^r(K^\bullet))$ is a quotient of a submodule of $H^r(\operatorname{gr}_i^F K^\bullet)$.

□

1.15. Let (M, M_i) and (N, N_i) be two filtered (D, D_i)-modules. For any integer $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $F_i \operatorname{Hom}_D(M, N)$ be the subgroup of $Hom_D(M, N)$ of the elements ϕ such that, for any integer $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\phi(M_j) \subset N_{i+j}$. For any integer $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, for any $\phi \in F_i \operatorname{Hom}_D(M, N)$, we get a morphism $\operatorname{gr}_j M \to \operatorname{gr}_{i+j} N$ defined by sending the class of a element $x \in M_i$ to the class of $\phi(x)$. Hence ϕ induces a map $\operatorname{gr} M \to \operatorname{gr} N$, which is in fact gr_D-linear. Hence we get the canonical morphism $F_i \operatorname{Hom}_D(M, N) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{gr} D}(\operatorname{gr} M, \operatorname{gr} N)$ and then

$$\operatorname{gr}^F \operatorname{Hom}_D(M, N) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{gr} D}(\operatorname{gr} M, \operatorname{gr} N). \tag{1.15.1}$$

Lemma 1.16. *Let (L, L_i) be a free filtered (D, D_i)-module of finite type. Let (N, N_i) be filtered (D, D_i)-module. The canonical morphism*

$$\operatorname{gr} \operatorname{Hom}_D(L, N) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{gr} D}(\operatorname{gr} L, \operatorname{gr} N)$$

of 1.15.1 is an isomorphism of abelian groups.

Proof. Let (M, M_i), (M', M'_i) be two filtered (D, D_i)-modules and $(M'', M''_i) := (M, M_i) \oplus (M', M'_i)$. Then the morphism of 1.15.1 $\operatorname{gr}^F \operatorname{Hom}_D(M'', N) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{gr} D}(\operatorname{gr} M'', \operatorname{gr} N)$ is an isomorphism if and only if so are $\operatorname{gr}^F \operatorname{Hom}_D(M, N) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{gr} D}(\operatorname{gr} M, \operatorname{gr} N)$ and $\operatorname{gr}^F \operatorname{Hom}_D(M', N) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{gr} D}(\operatorname{gr} M', \operatorname{gr} N)$. Hence, we can suppose that $(L, L_i) = (D(n), D(n)_i)$, for some integer n. Twisting the filtrations, we can suppose $n = 0$. Finally, we compute that the morphism of 1.15.1 $\operatorname{gr} \operatorname{Hom}_D(D, N) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{gr} D}(\operatorname{gr} D, \operatorname{gr} N)$ is, modulo the identifications $N = \operatorname{Hom}_D(D, N)$ and $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{gr} D}(\operatorname{gr} D, \operatorname{gr} N) = \operatorname{gr} N$, the identity, which is an isomorphism. □

Proposition 1.17. *Let (M, M_i) be a good filtered (D, D_i)-module. Let (N, N_i) be a filtered (D, D_i)-module. For any integer r, there exists a canonical filtration F of $\operatorname{Ext}_D^r(M, N)$ satisfying the following properties*

1. $\operatorname{Ext}_D^r(M, N) = \cup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} F_i \operatorname{Ext}_D^r(M, N)$,
2. $\operatorname{gr}^F \operatorname{Ext}_D^r(M, N)$ *is a subquotient of* $\operatorname{Ext}_{\operatorname{gr} D}^r(\operatorname{gr} M, \operatorname{gr} N)$.
3. *Suppose $(N, N_i) = (D, D_i)$. The canonical filtration $(F_i \operatorname{Ext}_D^r(M, D))_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of the right D-module $\operatorname{Ext}_D^r(M, D)$ is a good filtration. In particular, $0 = \cap_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} F_i \operatorname{Ext}_D^r(M, D)$. Moreover, we have the implication*

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{\operatorname{gr} D}^r(\operatorname{gr} M, \operatorname{gr} D) = 0 \Rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_D^r(M, D) = 0.$$

Proof. From 1.12 and with its definition, there exists a good resolution $(L_\bullet, L_{\bullet,i})$ of (M, M_i). We put $K^\bullet := \operatorname{Hom}_D(L_\bullet, N)$. Since $L_\bullet$ is a resolution of M by projective D-modules, we get $H^r(K^\bullet) = \operatorname{Ext}_D^r(M, N)$.

Let $F_i K^n$ be the subset of the elements ϕ of $Hom_D(L_n, N)$ such that, for any integer $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\phi(L_{n,j}) \subset N_{i+j}$. Since L_n is a D-module of finite type, we get $\cup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} F_i K^n = K^n$. With the canonical induced filtration on $H^r(K^\bullet) = \operatorname{Ext}_D^r(M, N)$ (see 1.14), this yields the first property. Since L_n is a free filtered (D, D_i)-modules of finite type, from Lemma 1.16, the canonical morphism $\operatorname{gr} K^n \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{gr} D}(\operatorname{gr} L_n, \operatorname{gr} N)$ is an isomorphism. Since $\operatorname{gr} L_\bullet$ is a resolution of $\operatorname{gr} M$ by projective $\operatorname{gr} D$-modules, we get $H^r(\operatorname{gr} K^\bullet) = \operatorname{Ext}_{\operatorname{gr} D}^r(\operatorname{gr} M, \operatorname{gr} N)$. This implies the second point by using Lemma 1.14.

When $(N, N_i) = (D, D_i)$, the filtration $F_i K^n$ of the right D-module K^n is a good filtration. We denote by $d_n \colon K^n \to K^{n+1}$ the canonical morphisms. From [Lau85, A.1.1.2], the induced filtrations on $\ker d_n$ and next on $\ker d_n / Im\, d_{n-1}$ (induced from the surjection $\ker d_n \to \ker d_n / Im\, d_{n-1}$) are good. We notice that this filtration on $\ker d_n / \operatorname{Im} d_{n-1} = H^n(K^\bullet)$ is the same as that defined at 1.14.1, which is the first assertion of the third point. With the second point, this yields the rest of the third point. □

2 Purity of the characteristic variety of holonomic F-$\mathcal{D}$-modules

Lemma 2.1. *Let X be an affine smooth variety over k, $\overline{D} := \Gamma(X, \mathcal{D}^{(0)}_{X/k})$, $(\overline{D}_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be its filtration by the order of the operators, $\overline{f}$ be an homogeneous element of* $\mathrm{gr}\,\overline{D}$*. Let $(\overline{M}, \overline{M}_i)$ and $(\overline{N}, \overline{N}_i)$ be two good filtered $(\overline{D}_{[\overline{f}]}, \overline{D}_{[\overline{f}],i})$-modules and r be an integer.*

1. *We have* $\mathrm{codim}\,\mathrm{Ext}^r_{\mathrm{gr}\overline{D}_{[\overline{f}]}}(\mathrm{gr}\overline{M}, \mathrm{gr}\overline{N}) \geq r$.
2. *If $r <$* $\mathrm{codim}\,\mathrm{gr}\overline{M}$ *then* $\mathrm{Ext}^r_{\mathrm{gr}\overline{D}_{[\overline{f}]}}(\mathrm{gr}\overline{N}, \mathrm{gr}\overline{D}_{[\overline{f}]}) = 0$.

Proof. By construction (see [Lau85, A.2]), we get $\mathrm{gr}\overline{D}_{[\overline{f}]} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathrm{gr}\overline{D})_{\overline{f}}$. Then, this is well known (e.g. see [HTT08, D.4.4]). ☐

2.2 (Localisation and π-adic completion)**.** Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be an affine smooth $\mathcal{V}$-formal scheme, X_n be the reduction of $\mathfrak{X}$ modulo π^{n+1}. We put $D := \Gamma(X, \mathcal{D}^{(0)}_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{S}})$ and $D_n := \Gamma(X, \mathcal{D}^{(0)}_{X_n/S_n})$. These rings are canonically filtered by the order of the differential operators ; we denote by (D, D_i) and $(D_n, D_{n,i})$ the (ind-pro) complete filtered rings. Let f be an homogeneous element of $\mathrm{gr}D$ and f_n be its image in $\mathrm{gr}D_n$. With the notation of 1.11, by using the same arguments as in the proof of [Abe14, 2.3], we get the canonical isomorphism of (ind-pro) complete filtered ring

$$(D_{[f]}, D_{[f],i}) \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{V}/\pi^{n+1} \xrightarrow{\sim} (D_{n[f_n]}, D_{n[f_n],i}). \tag{2.2.1}$$

We put $\widehat{D}_{[f]}$ (be careful that this notation is slightly different from that of 1.11) as the π-adic completion of $D_{[f]}$, i.e. $\widehat{D}_{[f]} := \varprojlim_n D_{[f]}/\pi^{n+1}D_{[f]} \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_n D_{n,[f_n]}$. Using Corollary [Lau85, A.1.1.1] and [Ber96, 3.2.2.(iii)], we get from the isomorphism 2.2.1 the noetherianity of $\widehat{D}_{[f]}$.

Finally, when there is no confusion with the notation 1.11, for any coherent $\widehat{D}$-module (resp. coherent $\widehat{D}_{\mathbb{Q}}$-module) M (resp. N), we set (by default in this new context) $M_{[f]} := \widehat{D}_{[f]} \otimes_{\widehat{D}} M$ (resp. $N_{[f]} := \widehat{D}_{[f]} \otimes_{\widehat{D}} N$).

Lemma 2.3. *With the notation of 2.2, the homomorphism $\widehat{D} \to \widehat{D}_{[f]}$ is flat.*

Proof. This is a consequence of [Ber96, 3.2.3.(vii)], [Lau85, A.2.3.4.(ii)] and 2.2.1. ☐

Remark 2.4. With the notation of 2.2, let M be a coherent $\widehat{D}$-module. We put $M_n := M/\pi^{n+1}M$. From [Ber02, 5.2.3.(iv)], there exists a good filtration $(M_{n,i})_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of M_n indexed by $\mathbb{N}$. We recall (see notation 1.11.1) that we get a good filtered $(D_{n,[f_n]}, D_{n,[f_n],i})$-module by putting $(M_{n,[f_n]}, M_{n,[f_n],i}) := (D_{n,[f_n]}, D_{n,[f_n],i}) \otimes_{(D_n, D_{n,i})} (M_n, M_{n,i})$. Moreover, since $\widehat{D}_{[f]}/\pi^{n+1}\widehat{D}_{[f]} \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{n,[f_n]}$ (use 2.2.1), then

$$M_{[f]}/\pi^{n+1}M_{[f]} \xrightarrow{\sim} M_{n,[f_n]}. \tag{2.4.1}$$

From [Ber96, 3.2.3.(v)], since $M_{[f]}$ is a $\widehat{D}_{[f]}$-module of finite type, then $M_{[f]}$ is complete for the π-adic topology. Hence, using 2.4.1 we get the canonical isomorphism of $\widehat{D}_{[f]}$-modules $M_{[f]} \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_n M_{n,[f_n]}$.

2.5 (Characteristic variety (of level m))**.** Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a smooth $\mathcal{V}$-formal scheme, X be the reduction of $\mathfrak{X}$ modulo π. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be an integer. Let us recall Berthelot's definition of characteristic varieties (of level m) as explained in [Ber02, 5.2].

1. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a coherent $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}_X$-module. Berhelot defined the cotangent space of level m of X defined by putting $T^{(m)*}X := (\mathrm{Spec}\,\mathrm{gr}\mathcal{D}^{(m)}_X)_{\mathrm{red}}$, where $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}_X$ is filtered by the order. Choose a good filtration $(\mathcal{G}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ (see the definition [Ber02, 5.2.3]), i.e. a filtration such that $\mathrm{gr}\mathcal{G}$ is a $\mathrm{gr}\mathcal{D}^{(m)}_X$, where $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}_X$ is filtered by the order. The characteristic variety of level m of $\mathcal{G}$, denoted by $\mathrm{Car}^{(m)}(\mathcal{G})$ is by definition the support of $\mathrm{gr}\mathcal{G}$ in $T^{(m)*}X$. Berthelot checked that this is well defined. In particular, we see that $\mathcal{G} = 0$ if and only if $\mathrm{Car}^{(m)}(\mathcal{G})$ is empty.

2. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a coherent $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(m)}_{\mathfrak{X}}$-module. The characteristic variety of level m of $\mathcal{F}$ $\mathrm{Car}^{(m)}(\mathcal{F})$ is by definition the characteristic variety of level m of $\mathcal{F}/\pi\mathcal{F}$ as coherent $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}_{X}$-module, i.e. $\mathrm{Car}^{(m)}(\mathcal{F}) := \mathrm{Car}^{(m)}(\mathcal{F}/\pi\mathcal{F})$.

3. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a coherent $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(m)}_{\mathfrak{X},\mathbb{Q}}$-module. Choose a coherent $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(m)}_{\mathfrak{X}}$-module $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{E}}$ without p-torsion such that there exists an isomorphism of $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(m)}_{\mathfrak{X},\mathbb{Q}}$-modules of the form $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}$. The characteristic variety of level m of $\mathcal{E}$ denoted by $\mathrm{Car}^{(m)}(\mathcal{E})$ is by definition that of $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{E}}$ as coherent $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(m)}_{\mathfrak{X}}$-module, i.e., $\mathrm{Car}^{(m)}(\mathcal{E}) := \mathrm{Car}^{(m)}(\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{E}}/\pi\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{E}})$. Berthelot checked that this is well defined. Moreover, $\mathrm{Car}^{(m)}(\mathcal{E})$ is empty if and only if $\mathcal{E}=0$ (because, since $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{E}}$ has no p-torsion, $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{E}}/\pi\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{E}}=0$ is equivalent to $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbb{Q}}=0$).

4. Let $(\mathcal{N},\phi)$ be a coherent F-$\mathcal{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathfrak{X},\mathbb{Q}}$-module, i.e. a coherent $\mathcal{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathfrak{X},\mathbb{Q}}$-module $\mathcal{N}$ and an isomorphism of $\mathcal{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathfrak{X},\mathbb{Q}}$-modules ϕ of the form $\phi\colon F^{*}\mathcal{N} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{N}$. Then there exists a (unique up to canonical isomorphism) coherent $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(0)}_{\mathfrak{X},\mathbb{Q}}$-module $\mathcal{N}^{(0)}$ and an isomorphism $\phi^{(0)}\colon \widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(1)}_{\mathfrak{X},\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(0)}_{\mathfrak{X},\mathbb{Q}}} \mathcal{N}^{(0)} \xrightarrow{\sim} F^{*}\mathcal{N}^{(0)}$ which induces canonically ϕ. Then, by definition, the characteristic variety of $\mathcal{N}$ denoted by $\mathrm{Car}(\mathcal{N})$ is by definition the characteristic variety of level 0 of $\mathcal{N}^{(0)}$, i.e., $\mathrm{Car}(\mathcal{N}) := \mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(\mathcal{N}^{(0)})$. We have $\mathrm{Car}(\mathcal{N})$ is empty if and only if $\mathcal{N}=0$

Lemma 2.6. *We keep notation 2.2. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a coherent $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(0)}_{\mathfrak{X},\mathbb{Q}}$-module, $\mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(\mathcal{N})$ its characteristic variety of level 0 (see the definition in [Ber02, 5.2.5]). We put $N := \Gamma(X,\mathcal{N})$. The following assertions are equivalent*

1. $D(f_0)\cap \mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(\mathcal{N}) = \emptyset$.
2. $N_{[f]} = 0$.

Proof. From [Ber96, 3.4.5], there exits a coherent $\widehat{D}$-module without p-torsion M such that $M_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\sim} N$. Since the extension $\widehat{D} \to \widehat{D}_{[f]}$ is flat (see 2.3), we get that $M_{[f]}$ is also without p-torsion (p is in the center of $\widehat{D}$ and $\widehat{D}_{[f]}$). This yields that $N_{[f]}=0$ if and only if $M_{[f]}=0$. Let $\overline{M} := M/\pi M$. From 2.4.1, we have $M_{[f]}/\pi M_{[f]} \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{M}_{[f_0]}$. Hence, $M_{[f]}=0$ if and only if $\overline{M}_{[f_0]}=0$ (e.g. see [Ber96, 3.2.2.(ii)]). From [Ber02, 5.2.3.(iv)], there exists a good filtration $(\overline{M}_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $\overline{M}$ indexed by $\mathbb{N}$. From the remark 2.4, this induces canonically the (ind-pro) complete $(\overline{D}_{[f_0]},\overline{D}_{[f_0],i})$-module $(\overline{M}_{[f_0]},\overline{M}_{[f_0],i})$. Since $\overline{M}_{[f_0]}$ is (ind-pro) complete, then the equalities $\overline{M}_{[f_0]}=0$ and $\mathrm{gr}(\overline{M}_{[f_0]},\overline{M}_{[f_0],i})=0$ are equivalent. Also, $(\mathrm{gr}\overline{M})_{f_0}=0$ if and only if $D(f_0)\cap\mathrm{Supp}(\mathrm{gr}\overline{M})=\emptyset$. Since $(\mathrm{gr}\overline{M})_{f_0} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{gr}(\overline{M}_{[f_0]})$ (see [Lau85, A.1.1.3]) and since by definition $\mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(\mathcal{N}) = \mathrm{Supp}(\mathrm{gr}(\overline{M},\overline{M}_i))$, we conclude the proof. ☐

Remark 2.7. Let $A=\oplus_{i\in\mathbb{N}}A_i$ be a graded ring. Let I be a graded ideal. Let $a_1,\dots,a_r$ be some homogeneous generators of I. We notice that $|\mathrm{Spec}A|\setminus V(I) = \cup_{i=1}^{r} D(a_i)$.

The following proposition is the analogue of [Kas77, 2.11]:

Proposition 2.8. *Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a smooth $\mathcal{V}$-formal scheme. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a coherent $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(0)}_{\mathfrak{X},\mathbb{Q}}$-module and V be an irreducible component of codimension r of $\mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(\mathcal{N})$, the characteristic variety of level 0 of $\mathcal{N}$ (see [Ber02, 5.2.5]). Then, $\mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(\mathcal{E}xt^{r}_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(0)}_{\mathfrak{X},\mathbb{Q}}}(\mathcal{N},\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(0)}_{\mathfrak{X},\mathbb{Q}}))$ contains V.*

Proof. We follow the proof of [Kas77, 2.11]: first, we can suppose $\mathfrak{X}$ affine with local coordinates. We set $D := \Gamma(\mathfrak{X},\mathcal{D}^{(0)}_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathfrak{S}})$, $N := \Gamma(X,\mathcal{N})$, $\overline{D} := \Gamma(X,\mathcal{D}^{(0)}_{X/S})$. Let M be a coherent $\widehat{D}$-module without p-torsion such that $M_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\sim} N$. Let $\overline{M} := M/\pi M$. From [Ber02, 5.2.3.(iv)], there exists a good filtration $(\overline{M}_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $\overline{M}$ indexed by $\mathbb{N}$. By definition, we have $\mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(\mathcal{N}) = \mathrm{Supp}(\mathrm{gr}(\overline{M},\overline{M}_i))$ (we recall that this is independent on the choice of the good filtration). Let η be the generic point of V. From [Mat80, 7.D and 10.B.i)], the irreducible components of $\mathrm{Supp}(\mathrm{gr}\overline{M})$ are of the form $V(J)$ with J a homogeneous ideal. Let Z be the union of the irreducible components of $\mathrm{Supp}(\mathrm{gr}\overline{M})$ which do not contain η. Then, we get from the remark 2.7 that there exists a homegeneous element $f\in\mathrm{gr}D$ such that $\eta\in D(f_0)$ and $D(f_0)\cap Z=\emptyset$ (in other words, $D(f_0)\cap\mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(\mathcal{N}) = D(f_0)\cap V \neq \emptyset$).

Now, suppose absurdly that $\eta \notin \mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(\mathcal{E}xt^r_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(0)}_{\mathfrak{X},\mathbb{Q}}}(\mathcal{N},\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(0)}_{\mathfrak{X},\mathbb{Q}}))$. Using the same arguments as above, there exists a homogeneous element $g \in \mathrm{gr} D$ such that $\eta \in D(g_0)$ and $D(g_0) \cap \mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(\mathcal{E}xt^r_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(0)}_{\mathfrak{X},\mathbb{Q}}}(\mathcal{N},\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(0)}_{\mathfrak{X},\mathbb{Q}})) = \emptyset$. We put $h = fg$. Hence, we have $\eta \in D(h_0)$ and $D(h_0) \cap \mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(\mathcal{N}) = D(h_0) \cap V$ and $D(h_0) \cap \mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(\mathcal{E}xt^r_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(0)}_{\mathfrak{X},\mathbb{Q}}}(\mathcal{N},\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(0)}_{\mathfrak{X},\mathbb{Q}})) = \emptyset$.

1) Since $\mathcal{E}xt^r_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(0)}_{\mathfrak{X},\mathbb{Q}}}(\mathcal{N},\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(0)}_{\mathfrak{X},\mathbb{Q}})$ is a coherent (right) $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(0)}_{\mathfrak{X},\mathbb{Q}}$-module, from Theorem A and B of Berthelot (see [Ber96, 3]), we get the equality $\Gamma(\mathfrak{X},\mathcal{E}xt^r_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(0)}_{\mathfrak{X},\mathbb{Q}}}(\mathcal{N},\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(0)}_{\mathfrak{X},\mathbb{Q}})) = \mathrm{Ext}^r_{\widehat{D}_{\mathbb{Q}}}(N,\widehat{D}_{\mathbb{Q}})$. From 2.6, this implies $\mathrm{Ext}^r_{\widehat{D}_{\mathbb{Q}}}(N,\widehat{D}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \otimes_{\widehat{D}_{\mathbb{Q}}} \widehat{D}_{[h],\mathbb{Q}} = 0$. Since the extension $\widehat{D}_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \widehat{D}_{[h],\mathbb{Q}}$ is flat (see 2.3), we get $\mathrm{Ext}^r_{\widehat{D}_{[h],\mathbb{Q}}}(N_{[h]},\widehat{D}_{[h],\mathbb{Q}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Ext}^r_{\widehat{D}_{\mathbb{Q}}}(N,\widehat{D}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \otimes_{\widehat{D}_{\mathbb{Q}}} \widehat{D}_{[h],\mathbb{Q}} = 0$.

2) a) Since $\mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(\mathcal{N}) = \mathrm{Supp}(\mathrm{gr}\overline{M})$, then $D(h_0) \cap \mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(\mathcal{N}) = \mathrm{Supp}(\mathrm{gr}\overline{M})_{h_0})$. Since we have also $D(h_0) \cap \mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(\mathcal{N}) = D(h_0) \cap V$, then in particular we get $\mathrm{Codim}(\mathrm{gr}\overline{M})_{h_0} = r$. Since $(\mathrm{gr}\overline{M})_{h_0} = \mathrm{gr}(\overline{M}_{[h_0]})$, then from 2.1 for any $i < r$ we obtain $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathrm{gr}\overline{D}_{[h_0]}}(\mathrm{gr}(\overline{M}_{[h_0]}),\mathrm{gr}\overline{D}_{[h_0]}) = 0$. From 1.17.3, this yields that for $i < r$, $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\overline{D}_{[h_0]}}(\overline{M}_{[h_0]},\overline{D}_{[h_0]}) = 0$. On the other hand, from 2.1 we get for any $i > r$ the inequality $\mathrm{Codim}(\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathrm{gr}\overline{D}_{[h_0]}}(\mathrm{gr}(\overline{M}_{[h_0]}),\mathrm{gr}\overline{D}_{[h_0]})) > r$. Hence, by reducing $D(h_0)$ if necessary (use again the remark 2.7), for any $i > r$ we get $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathrm{gr}\overline{D}_{[h_0]}}(\mathrm{gr}(\overline{M}_{[h_0]}),\mathrm{gr}\overline{D}_{[h_0]}) = 0$ and then $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\overline{D}_{[h_0]}}(\overline{M}_{[h_0]},\overline{D}_{[h_0]}) = 0$. To sum up, we have found an homogeneous element $h \in \mathrm{gr} D$ such that $\eta \in D(h_0)$ and for $i \neq r$, $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\overline{D}_{[h_0]}}(\overline{M}_{[h_0]},\overline{D}_{[h_0]}) = 0$.

2) b) Now, since $M_{[h]}$ is without p-torsion, $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\widehat{D}_{[h]}}(M_{[h]},\widehat{D}_{[h]}) \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{\widehat{D}_{[h]}} \overline{D}_{[h_0]} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{D}_{[h_0]}}(\overline{M}_{[h_0]},\overline{D}_{[h_0]})$. From the exact sequence of universal coefficients (e.g. see the beginning of the proof of [Vir00, I.5.8]), we get the inclusion $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\widehat{D}_{[h]}}(M_{[h]},\widehat{D}_{[h]}) \otimes_{\widehat{D}_{[h]}} \overline{D}_{[h_0]} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\overline{D}_{[h_0]}}(\overline{M}_{[h_0]},\overline{D}_{[h_0]})$. Hence, for any $i \neq r$, from the step 2) a) of the proof, we obtain the vanishing $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\widehat{D}_{[h]}}(M_{[h]},\widehat{D}_{[h]}) \otimes_{\widehat{D}_{[h]}} \overline{D}_{[h_0]} = 0$. By using [Ber96, 3.2.2.(ii)], since $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\widehat{D}_{[h]}}(M_{[h]},\widehat{D}_{[h]})$ is a coherent $\widehat{D}_{[h]}$-module, for $i \neq r$ we get $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\widehat{D}_{[h]}}(M_{[h]},\widehat{D}_{[h]}) = 0$ and then $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\widehat{D}_{[h],\mathbb{Q}}}(N_{[h]},\widehat{D}_{[h],\mathbb{Q}}) = 0$ (because $\widehat{D}_{[h]} \to \widehat{D}_{[h],\mathbb{Q}}$ is flat).

3) From steps 1) and 2), we have checked that $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\widehat{D}_{[h],\mathbb{Q}}}(N_{[h]},\widehat{D}_{[h],\mathbb{Q}}) = 0$. By using the biduality isomorphism (see [Vir00, I.3.6] and notice that $N_{[h]}$ is a perfect complex because so is N and because the extension $\widehat{D}_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \widehat{D}_{[h],\mathbb{Q}}$ is flat), we get $N_{[h]} = 0$, which is absurd following Lemma 2.6 because $\eta \in D(h_0)$. ☐

Theorem 2.9. *Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a smooth $\mathcal{V}$-formal scheme. Let r be an integer, $\mathcal{N}$ be a coherent $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(0)}_{\mathfrak{X},\mathbb{Q}}$-module such that $\mathcal{E}xt^s_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(0)}_{\mathfrak{X},\mathbb{Q}}}(\mathcal{N},\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(0)}_{\mathfrak{X},\mathbb{Q}}) = 0$ for any $s \neq r$. Then, the characteristic variety* $\mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(\mathcal{N})$ *of $\mathcal{N}$ is purely of codimension r.*

Proof. If V is an irreducible component of $\mathrm{Car}^{(0)}(\mathcal{N})$ of codimension s, then from 2.8 we get $\mathcal{E}xt^s_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(0)}_{\mathfrak{X},\mathbb{Q}}}(\mathcal{N},\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(0)}_{\mathfrak{X},\mathbb{Q}}) \neq 0$ since it contains V. Hence $s = r$. ☐

Corollary 2.10. *Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a smooth integral $\mathcal{V}$-formal scheme of dimension d. Let $\mathcal{N} \neq 0$ be a holonomic F-$\mathcal{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathfrak{X},\mathbb{Q}}$-module. Then, the characteristic variety* $\mathrm{Car}(\mathcal{N})$ *of $\mathcal{N}$ is purely of codimension d.*

Proof. The is a consequence of Virrion's holonomicity characterization (see Theorem [Vir00, III.4.2] and of Theorem 2.9. ☐

3 Lagrangianity for log-extendable overconvergent isocrystal

Notation 3.1. Let X be a smooth k-variety. For any an quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-module $\mathcal{E}$, we denote by $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{E})$ the symetric algebra of $\mathcal{E}$ and by $\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}) := \mathrm{Spec}\,(\mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{E}))$ endowed with its canonical projection $\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}) \to \mathrm{Spec}\,\mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{O}_X) = X$. We denote by Ω^1_X the sheaf of differential form of $X/\mathrm{Spec}\,(k)$ (we skip k in the notation), and $\mathcal{T}_X$ the tangent space of $X/\mathrm{Spec}\,(k)$, i.e. the $\mathcal{O}_X$-dual of Ω^1_X. We denote by $T^*X := \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{T}_X)$ the cotangent space of X and $\pi_X \colon T^*X \to$

X the canonical projection. Recall that from [Gro61, 1.7.9], there is a canonical bijection between sections of π_X and $\Gamma(X,\Omega^1_X)$. We denote by $T^*_X X$ the section corresponding to the zero section of $\Gamma(X,\Omega^1_X)$. If $t_1,\dots,t_d$ are local coordinates of X, we get local coordinates $t_1,\dots,t_d,\xi_1,\dots,\xi_d$ of T^*X, where ξ_i is the element associated with ∂_i, the derivation with respect to t_i. Is this case, $T^*_X X = V(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_d)$ is the closed subvariety of T^*X defined by $\xi_1 = 0,\dots,\xi_d = 0$.

Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a morphism of smooth k-varieties. Using the equality [Gro61, 1.7.11.(iv)] we get the last one $X \times_Y T^*Y = X \times_Y \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{T}_Y) = \mathbb{V}(f^*\mathcal{T}_Y)$. The morphism $f^*\Omega^1_Y \to \Omega^1_X$ induced by f yields by duality $\mathcal{T}_X \to f^*\mathcal{T}_Y$ and then by functoriality $\mathbb{V}(f^*\mathcal{T}_X) \to \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{T}_Y) = T^*Y$. By composition, we get the morphism denoted by $\rho_f\colon X \times_Y T^*Y \to T^*X$ this morphism (induced by f). We define the k-variety $T^*_X Y$ (recall a k-variety is a separated reduced scheme of finite type over k from our convention) by setting $T^*_X Y := \rho_f^{-1}(T^*_X X)$.

Denote by α_X the composition of the diagonal morphism $\Delta_{T^*X/X}\colon T^*X \hookrightarrow T^*X \times_X T^*X$ with $\rho_{T_X}\colon T^*X \times_X T^*X \to T^*(T^*X)$ (replace f by π_X in the definition above). We check that α_X is a section of the canonical morphism $\pi_{T^*X}\colon T^*(T^*X) \to T^*X$ (indeed, since this is local in T^*X, we check it using local coordinates). Hence, α_X correspond to a section $\Gamma(X,\Omega^1_{T^*X})$, which we still denote by α_X. Similarly as in the very beginning of [HTT08, E.2], we say that α_X is the canonical 1-form of T^*X. In this local coordinate system, we get $\alpha_X = \sum_{i=1}^d \xi_i dt_i$.

Definition 3.2. Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a smooth formal scheme over $\mathcal{V}$ and X be its special fiber.

1. Let E be a subvariety of T^*X. The restriction α_X on E is denoted by $\alpha_X|E \in \Omega^1_E$. As before Proposition [Kas77, 2.3], we say that E is isotropic if there exists an open dense subset U of E such that $\alpha_X|U = 0$. When X is purely of dimension d, we say that E is Lagrangian if E is isotropic and purely of codimension d. In general, we say that E is Lagrangian is the restriction of E on the irreducible components of T^*X are Lagrangian in the previous sense.

2. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a coherent F-$\mathcal{D}^\dagger_{\mathfrak{X},\mathbb{Q}}$-module. We say that $\mathcal{E}$ is Lagrangian (resp. isotropic) if $\mathrm{Car}(\mathcal{E})$ is Lagrangian (resp. isotropic). From 2.10, $\mathcal{E}$ is Lagrangian if and only if $\mathcal{E}$ is holonomic and isotropic.

3. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a complex of F-$D^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathcal{D}^\dagger_{\mathfrak{X},\mathbb{Q}})$. We put $\mathrm{Car}(\mathcal{E}) := \cup_n \mathrm{Car}(\mathcal{H}^n(\mathcal{E}))$. We say that $\mathcal{E}$ is Lagrangian if for any integer n, the characteristic variety of $\mathcal{H}^n(\mathcal{E})$ is Lagrangian, i.e. if $\mathrm{Car}(\mathcal{E})$ is Lagrangian.

Examples 3.3. For instance, let $\iota\colon Z \hookrightarrow X$ be closed immersion of smooth k-varieties. Then $T^*_Z X$ is Lagrangian. Indeed, since this local, from [SGA1, II.4.10], we can suppose there exist local coordinates $t_1,\dots,t_d$ of X such that $t'_1,\dots,t'_r$, the global sections of Z induced by $t_1,\dots,t_r$ via ι, are local coordinates of Z and such that $Z = V(t_{r+1},\dots,t_d)$. We denote by $\xi_1,\dots,\xi_d$ the global sections of T^*X associated with $\partial_1,\dots,\partial_d$, the derivation with respect to $t_1,\dots,t_d$, by $\xi'_1,\dots,\xi'_r$ the global sections of T^*Z associated with $\partial'_1,\dots,\partial'_r$, the derivation with respect to $t'_1,\dots,t'_r$, $\overline{\xi}_1,\dots,\overline{\xi}_r$ the global sections of $Z \times_X T^*X$ induced by $\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r$ via the closed immersion $Z \times_X T^*X \hookrightarrow X \times_X T^*X = T^*X$. Since $T^*_Z Z = V(\xi'_1,\dots,\xi'_r)$, since $\xi'_1,\dots,\xi'_r$ are sent to $\overline{\xi}_1,\dots,\overline{\xi}_r$ via $\rho_\iota\colon Z \times_X T^*X \to T^*Z$, we get $T^*_Z X := \rho_\iota^{-1}(T^*_Z Z) = V(\overline{\xi}_1,\dots,\overline{\xi}_r)$. Since $Z \times_X T^*X = V(t_{r+1},\dots,t_n)$, viewing $T^*_Z X$ as a closed subvariety of T^*X, we get $T^*_Z X = V(t_{r+1},\dots,t_n,\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r)$. This becomes obvious that $\alpha_X = \sum_{i=1}^d \xi_i dt_i$ vanishes on $T^*_Z X$. Since it is also pure of codimension d, we are done.

3.4. Let X be an affine smooth variety over k admitting local coordinates $t_1,\dots,t_d$. We denote by $X^{(m)}$ the base change of X by the mth power of Frobenius of $S := \mathrm{Spec}\, k$, by $F^m\colon X \to X^{(m)}$ the relative Frobenius morphism. From the equalities [Ber96, 1.1.3.1, 2.2.4.(iii)], we compute that for any $j < m$, we have in $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}_{X/S}$ the equality $(\partial_i^{<p^j>_{(m)}})^p = 0$. From [Ber96, 1.1.3.(ii), 2.2.4.(iii)], for any k and l, we compute that there exists $u \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ such that $(\partial_i^{<p^m k>_{(m)}})^l = u\partial_i^{<p^m kl>_{(m)}}$. Let $\xi_i^{(m)}$ be the class of $\partial_i^{<p^m>_{(m)}} = \partial_i^{[p^m]}$ in $(\mathrm{gr}\,\mathcal{D}^{(m)}_{X/S})_{\mathrm{red}}$. Hence, with the formula [Ber96, 2.2.5.1], we check that $(\mathrm{gr}\,\mathcal{D}^{(m)}_{X/S})_{\mathrm{red}} = \oplus_{\underline{k}\in\mathbb{N}^d} (\xi_i^{(m)})^k \mathcal{O}_X$.

From [Ber02, 5.2.2], the canonical morphism $(\mathrm{gr}\,\mathcal{D}^{(m)}_{X/S})_{\mathrm{red}} \to F^m \mathrm{gr}\,\mathcal{D}^{(0)}_{X^{(m)}/S}$ induced by the morphism $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}_{X/S} \to F^{m*}\mathcal{D}^{(0)}_{X^{(m)}/S}$ of left $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}_{X/S}$-modules is an isomorphism. We remark that this is consequence of above computations and of [Ber00, 2.2.4.3] which states that the image of $\partial_i^{<k>_{(m)}}$ via $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}_{X/S} \to F^{m*}\mathcal{D}^{(0)}_{X^{(m)}/S}$ is $1 \otimes \partial_i^{k/p^m}$ if p^m divides k and otherwise is 0 (and then $\xi_i^{(m)}$ is sent to $1 \otimes \xi_i$, where ξ_i is the class of ∂_i in $\mathrm{gr}\,\mathcal{D}^{(0)}_{X^{(m)}/S}$).

3.5 (Local coordinates)**.** Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be an integral separated smooth formal $\mathcal{V}$-scheme, $\mathcal{Z}$ be a strict normal crossing divisor of $\mathfrak{X}$, $\mathcal{Z}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Z}_r$ be the irreducible components of $\mathcal{Z}$. We put $\mathfrak{X}^\# := (\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{Z})$ the corresponding smooth log formal $\mathcal{V}$-scheme. We have defined in [Car09, 1.1] the sheaf of differential operators of finite order of level m on $\mathfrak{X}^\#$ and denoted by $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}_{\mathfrak{X}^\#}$. Taking the p-adic completion and next taking the inductive limit on the level, we get the sheaf of differential operators on $\mathfrak{X}^\#$ denoted by $\mathcal{D}^\dagger_{\mathfrak{X}^\#} := \varinjlim_m \widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(m)}_{\mathfrak{X}^\#}$. We have the following description in local coordinates of $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}_{\mathfrak{X}^\#}$. Suppose $\mathfrak{X}$ is affine with local coordinates $t_1, \dots, t_d$ such that $\mathcal{Z}_i = V(t_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Then $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}_{\mathfrak{X}^\#}$ (resp $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$-module) is a free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$-module, with basis (naturally constructed in the sense that it only depends on the choice of $t_1, \dots, t_d$) denoted by $\underline{\partial}_\#^{<\underline{k}>_{(m)}}$ (resp. $\underline{\partial}^{<\underline{k}>_{(m)}}$), where $\underline{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$. and when $\underline{k} = \underline{\varepsilon}_i = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ with the 1 at the ith place, we put $\partial_{\# i} := \underline{\partial}_\#^{<\varepsilon_i>_{(m)}}$ (resp. $\partial_i := \underline{\partial}^{<\varepsilon_i>_{(m)}}$). $\partial_{\# 1}, \dots, \partial_{\# d}$ (resp. $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_d$) are the logarithmic derivation with respect to $t_1, \dots, t_d$ (resp. derivation with respect to $t_1, \dots, t_d$). We have the inclusion $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}_{\mathfrak{X}^\#} \subset \mathcal{D}^{(m)}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ and the relation $\underline{\partial}_\#^{<\underline{k}>_{(m)}} = \underline{t}^{\underline{k}} \underline{\partial}^{<\underline{k}>_{(m)}}$, where $\underline{t}^{\underline{k}} := t_1^{k_1} \cdots t_d^{k_d}$. In the ring $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}_{\mathfrak{X},\mathbb{Q}} \supset \mathcal{D}^{(m)}_{\mathfrak{X}}$, we have the relation

$$\underline{\partial}^{<\underline{k}>_{(m)}} = \frac{[\underline{k}/p^m]!}{\underline{k}!} \underline{\partial}^{\underline{k}},$$

where $\underline{k}! := k_1! \cdots, k_d$, $[\underline{k}/p^m]! := [k_1/p^m]! \cdots, [k_d/p^m]!$, $\underline{\partial}^{\underline{k}} := \partial_1^{k_1} \cdots \partial_d^{k_d}$, which explain the notation.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be an integral separated smooth formal $\mathcal{V}$-scheme, $\mathcal{Z}$ be a strict normal crossing divisor of $\mathfrak{X}$. We put $\mathfrak{X}^\# := (\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{Z})$ the corresponding smooth log formal $\mathcal{V}$-scheme. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a coherent $\mathcal{D}^\dagger_{\mathfrak{X}^\#,\mathbb{Q}}$-module which is also a locally projective $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X},\mathbb{Q}}$-module of finite type (i.e., following [Car09, 4.9 and 4.15], $\mathcal{G}$ is a convergent isocrystal on $\mathfrak{X}^\#$). Let $\mathcal{E} := ({}^\dagger Z)(\mathcal{G})$ be the induced isocrystal on $X \setminus Z$ overconvergent along Z. We suppose that $\mathcal{G}$ is endowed with a Frobenius structure. Let $\mathcal{Z}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Z}_r$ be the irreducible components of $\mathcal{Z}$. For any subset I of $\{1, \dots, r\}$ (including $I = \emptyset$), we put $\mathcal{Z}_I := \cap_{i \in I} \mathcal{Z}_i$ (in particular, we have $\mathcal{Z}_\emptyset = \mathfrak{X}$). Then we have the inclusion*

$$|\mathrm{Car}(\mathcal{E})| \subset \cup_{I \subset \{1,\dots,r\}} T^*_{Z_I} X, \tag{3.6.1}$$

where $T^*_{Z_I} X$ is the standard notation (see 3.1). In particular, with the remark 3.2 and since we know that $\mathcal{E}$ is holonomic (see [CT12]), this implies that $|\mathrm{Car}(\mathcal{E})|$ is Lagrangian.

Proof. This is local so we can suppose $\mathfrak{X}$ affine with local coordinates $t_1, \dots, t_d$ such that $\mathcal{Z}_i = V(t_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. We proceed by induction on r. For $r = 0$ (i.e. $\mathcal{Z}$ is empty), this is already known (see the example after [Ber02, 5.2.7]). Suppose now $r \geq 1$. From [Car09, 4.12], there exists a coherent $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(0)}_{\mathfrak{X}^\#}$-module $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ which is also $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$-coherent and such that $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{G}$. We can suppose that $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ has no p-torsion (indeed, from [Ber96, 3.4.4], the subsheaf of $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ of p-torsion elements is a coherent $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(0)}_{\mathfrak{X}^\#}$-module and also a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$-module). With the notation [Car09, 5.1], $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{Z})$ is also a coherent $\mathcal{D}^\dagger_{\mathfrak{X}^\#,\mathbb{Q}}$-module and a locally projective $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X},\mathbb{Q}}$-module of finite type. Hence, from [Car09, 4.14], we get $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(m)}_{\mathfrak{X}^\#,\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(0)}_{\mathfrak{X}^\#,\mathbb{Q}}} \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{Z})$. We denote by $\mathcal{H}^{(m)}$ the quotient of $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(m)}_{\mathfrak{X}^\#} \otimes_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(0)}_{\mathfrak{X}^\#}} (\mathcal{G}^{(0)}(\mathcal{Z}))$ by its p-torsion part. The latter isomorphism implies that $\mathcal{H}^{(m)}_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{Z})$. By using [Ber96, 3.4.5] and [Car09, 4.12], it follows that $\mathcal{H}^{(m)}$ is isogeneous to a coherent $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(m)}_{\mathfrak{X}^\#}$-module which is also $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$-coherent. Since $\Gamma(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}})$ is noetherian and $\mathcal{H}^{(m)}$ has no p-torsion, we get that $\Gamma(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{H}^{(m)})$ is a $\Gamma(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}})$-module of finite type. Since $\mathcal{H}^{(m)}$ is a coherent $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(m)}_{\mathfrak{X}^\#}$-module, this yields that $\mathcal{H}^{(m)}$ is also $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$-coherent (this is a log-variation of [Car06b, 2.2.13] and its check is identical).

We denote by $\mathcal{M}^{(m)} := \widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(m)}_{\mathfrak{X}} \otimes_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(m)}_{\mathfrak{X}^\#}} \mathcal{H}^{(m)}$ and by $\mathcal{N}^{(m)}$ the quotient of $\mathcal{M}^{(m)}$ by its p-torsion part. We put $\overline{\mathcal{H}}^{(m)} := \mathcal{H}^{(m)}/\pi\mathcal{H}^{(m)}$, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{(m)} := \mathcal{M}^{(m)}/\pi\mathcal{M}^{(m)}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{N}}^{(m)} := \mathcal{N}^{(m)}/\pi\mathcal{N}^{(m)}$. Since we have the epimorphism $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{(m)} \twoheadrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{N}}^{(m)}$, from

[Ber02, 5.2.4.(i)] and its notation, we get $\mathrm{Car}^{(m)}(\overline{\mathcal{N}}^{(m)}) \subset \mathrm{Car}^{(m)}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{(m)})$. From [Car09, 4.14], we get the second isomorphism $\mathcal{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathfrak{X},\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(m)}_{\mathfrak{X},\mathbb{Q}}} \mathcal{N}^{(m)}_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathfrak{X},\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(m)}_{\mathfrak{X}^{\#},\mathbb{Q}}} \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathfrak{X},\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathfrak{X}^{\#},\mathbb{Q}}} \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{Z})$. Since $\mathcal{G}$ has a Frobenius structure, it follows from [Car09, 5.24.(ii)] and [CT12, 2.2.9] that we have the isomorphism $\mathcal{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathfrak{X},\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathfrak{X}^{\#},\mathbb{Q}}} \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}$. Hence, $\mathcal{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathfrak{X},\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(m)}_{\mathfrak{X},\mathbb{Q}}} \mathcal{N}^{(m)}_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}$. By definition of $\mathrm{Car}(\mathcal{E})$ (see [Ber02, 5.2.7]), by using [Ber96, 3.6.2.(i)] this implies that for m large enough we have the equality $\mathrm{Car}(\mathcal{E}) = \mathrm{Car}^{(m)}(\overline{\mathcal{N}}^{(m)})$ (modulo the homeomorphism between $T^{*(m)}X$ and $T^{*}X$ of [Ber02, 5.2.2.1]).

Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{(m)}_{n}$ be the image of $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}_{X,n} \times \overline{\mathcal{H}}^{(m)} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}^{(m)}$. Since $\overline{\mathcal{H}}^{(m)}$ is $\mathcal{O}_X$-coherent, we check that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{(m)}_{n}$ is a good filtration of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{(m)}$ (see [Ber02, 5.2.3]). By definition, this implies $\mathrm{Car}^{(m)}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{(m)}) = \mathrm{Supp}(\oplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{(m)}_{n}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{(m)}_{n-1}))$. For $d \geq i > r$, we remark that $\partial_i^{<p^m>_{(m)}} \in \mathcal{D}^{(m)}_{X^{\#}}$. Hence, we get in $T^{*(m)}X = \mathrm{Spec}\,(\mathrm{gr}\,\mathcal{D}^{(m)}_{X/S})_{\mathrm{red}}$ the inclusion $\mathrm{Car}^{(m)}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{(m)}) \subset \cap_{i=r+1}^{d} V(\xi_i^{(m)})$ (see the notation of 3.4). Since, modulo the homeomorphism between $T^{*(m)}X$ and $T^{*}X$ of [Ber02, 5.2.2.1], the closed variety $\cap_{i=r+1}^{d} V(\xi_i^{(m)})$ corresponds to $\cap_{i=r+1}^{d} V(\xi_i)$ (see the description given in 3.4 of the homeomorphism [Ber02, 5.2.2.1]), then we get $\mathrm{Car}(\mathcal{E}) \subset \cap_{i=r+1}^{d} V(\xi_i)$.

Let $\mathcal{Z}_{min} := \cap_{i=1}^{r} \mathcal{Z}_i$. Then, we get $\iota^{-1}(\mathrm{Car}(\mathcal{E})) \subset \iota^{-1}(\cap_{i=r+1}^{d} V(\xi_i)) = T^{*}_{Z_{min}}X$, where $\iota\colon Z_{min} \times_X T^{*}X \hookrightarrow T^{*}X$ is the canonical immersion.

For any $i = 1,\ldots,r$, we put $\mathfrak{X}_i := \mathfrak{X} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_i$. From the induction hypothesis, we get the first inclusion $\mathrm{Car}(\mathcal{E}|\mathfrak{X}_i) \subset \cup_{l_i \in I_i} T^{*}_{X_i \cap Z_{I_i}} X_i = X_i \times_X \cup_{l_i \in I_i} T^{*}_{Z_{I_i}} X$, where the union runs through subsets I_i of $\{1,\ldots,r\}$ which do not contain i. Since $(\mathfrak{X}_i)$ is a open covering of $\mathfrak{X} \setminus Z_{min}$ and since $\iota^{-1}(\mathrm{Car}(\mathcal{E})) \subset T^{*}_{\mathcal{Z}_{min}}X$, we conclude.

□

Remark 3.7. Let $\mathcal{E}' \to \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}'' \to \mathcal{E}'[1]$ be an exact triangle of $F\text{-}D^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathcal{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathfrak{X},\mathbb{Q}})$. Using [Ber02, 5.2.4.(i) and 5.2.7], we get the equality $\mathrm{Car}(\mathcal{E}) = \mathrm{Car}(\mathcal{E}') \cup \mathrm{Car}(\mathcal{E}'')$. This yields that $\mathcal{E}'$ and $\mathcal{E}''$ are Lagrangian if and only if $\mathcal{E}$ is Lagrangian. Hence, to check the Lagrangianity of overholonomic F-complexes, we reduce by devissage to the case of overcoherent F-isocrystals (see [Car06a]). But, this is probably wrong that any overcoherent F-isocrystals are Lagrangian.

References

[Abe14] T. ABE – "Rings of microdifferential operators for arithmetic $\mathscr{D}$-modules", *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova* **131** (2014), no. 1, p. p.89–149.

[Ber96] P. BERTHELOT – "-modules arithmétiques. I. Opérateurs différentiels de niveau fini", *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **29** (1996), no. 2, p. 185–272.

[Ber00] — , "$\mathcal{D}$-modules arithmétiques. II. Descente par Frobenius", *Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.)* (2000), no. 81, p. vi+136.

[Ber02] — , "Introduction à la théorie arithmétique des $\mathcal{D}$-modules", *Astérisque* (2002), no. 279, p. 1–80, Cohomologies p-adiques et applications arithmétiques, II.

[Car06a] D. CARO – "Dévissages des F-complexes de $\mathcal{D}$-modules arithmétiques en F-isocristaux surconvergents", *Invent. Math.* **166** (2006), no. 2, p. 397–456.

[Car06b] — , "Fonctions L associées aux $\mathcal{D}$-modules arithmétiques. Cas des courbes", *Compositio Mathematica* **142** (2006), no. 01, p. 169–206.

[Car09] — , "Overconvergent log-isocrystals and holonomy. (Log-isocristaux surconvergents et holonomie.)", *Compos. Math.* **145** (2009), no. 6, p. 1465–1503.

[Car15] D. CARO – "Betti number estimates in p-adic cohomology", *ArXiv Mathematics e-prints* (2015).

[CT12] D. CARO et N. TSUZUKI – "Overholonomicity of overconvergent F-isocrystals over smooth varieties", *Ann. of Math. (2)* **176** (2012), no. 2, p. 747–813.

[Gro61] A. GROTHENDIECK – "Éléments de géométrie algébrique. II. Étude globale élémentaire de quelques classes de morphismes", *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* (1961), no. 8, p. 222.

[HTT08] R. HOTTA, K. TAKEUCHI et T. TANISAKI – *D-modules, perverse sheaves, and representation theory*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 236, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2008, Translated from the 1995 Japanese edition by Takeuchi.

[Kas77] M. KASHIWARA – “B-functions and holonomic systems. Rationality of roots of B-functions”, *Invent. Math.* **38** (1976/77), no. 1, p. 33–53.

[Ked11] K. S. KEDLAYA – “Semistable reduction for overconvergent F-isocrystals, IV: local semistable reduction at nonmonomial valuations”, *Compos. Math.* **147** (2011), no. 2, p. 467–523.

[Lau83] G. LAUMON – “Sur la catégorie dérivée des $\mathcal{D}$-modules filtrés”, Algebraic geometry (Tokyo/Kyoto, 1982), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1016, Springer, Berlin, 1983, p. 151–237.

[Lau85] — , “Transformations canoniques et spécialisation pour les $\mathcal{D}$-modules filtrés”, *Astérisque* (1985), no. 130, p. 56–129, Differential systems and singularities (Luminy, 1983).

[Mal76] B. MALGRANGE – “Caractérisation homologique de la dimension”, *Séminaire Opérateurs différentiels et pseudo-différentiels, Grenoble* (1975-1976).

[Mat80] H. MATSUMURA – *Commutative algebra*, second éd., Mathematics Lecture Note Series, vol. 56, Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Co., Inc., Reading, Mass., 1980.

[SGA1] *Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental (SGA 1)*. Documents Mathématiques (Paris) [Mathematical Documents (Paris)], 3. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2003. Séminaire de géométrie algébrique du Bois Marie 1960–61. [Geometric Algebra Seminar of Bois Marie 1960-61], Directed by A. Grothendieck, With two papers by M. Raynaud, Updated and annotated reprint of the 1971 original [Lecture Notes in Math., 224, Springer, Berlin;].

[Vir00] A. VIRRION – “Dualité locale et holonomie pour les $\mathcal{D}$-modules arithmétiques”, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **128** (2000), no. 1, p. 1–68.

[Wei94] Charles A. Weibel. *An introduction to homological algebra*, volume 38 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.

Daniel Caro
Laboratoire de Mathématiques Nicolas Oresme
Université de Caen Campus 2
14032 Caen Cedex
France.
email: daniel.caro@unicaen.fr